



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

70

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,438	06/14/2001	Randy De Meno	044463.0031	8825
29858 7590 01/29/2007 THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP 900 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAMINER TO, JENNIFER N	
			ART UNIT 2195	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/882438	June 14, 2001	De Mero et al.	044463.0031

EXAMINER	
Jennifer To	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2195	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jennifer To (3) Christopher Daley - Watson
(2) Lewis A. Bullock (4) Marcus Muller

Date of Interview 1/23/07

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,

Identification of prior art discussed: Dourish et al. U.S. Patent 6253217

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The distinct between the Dourish reference and the claim invention regarding file and the associated profile being stored separately. Because Dourish alludes to both separation with a logical association and stored together, the examiner will review the reference along with the arguments when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER